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Dual-energy CT (DECT), also called multienergy or spectral CT, has been available to diagnostic radiologists since the early 2000s. This innovation was made possible through improvements and progress in CT technology and was based on work originally conducted in the 1970s by Geoffrey Hounsfield [1]. The ability of DECT to improve tissue characterization and assess material composition was first shown in the 1970s [2]. However, at that time, its clinical use was limited by technical factors, such as lengthy CT acquisition times leading to excessive motion artifacts [3], high radiation exposures, and variable tube currents at lower tube voltages compared with higher tube voltages [4].

DECT requires acquisition of two datasets of the same scan volume with the use of different spectral energy profiles, typically a dataset with a low tube voltage (e.g., 70–100 kVp) and a dataset with a high tube voltage (e.g., 130–150 kVp). As a result, the data profile from every voxel will represent photons from both energy profiles, each of which has a different energy spectrum. With current scanners, this technique can be used to acquire additional information on tissue composition, generate virtual unenhanced images, and improve the conspicuity of iodine attenuation through the generation of iodine maps. From a clinical standpoint, these advances can reduce the number of additional imaging examinations performed to reach a diagnosis (e.g., renal cyst evaluation) or salvage CT examinations with suboptimal contrast enhancement resulting from dosing issues, timing issues, or both [5]. The option to generate virtual monoenergetic datasets from polyenergetic x-ray beams is also advantageous. This is particularly true at lower kiloelectron volt levels (e.g., 40–50 keV), which can substantially improve iodine tissue contrast. An advantage of both low- and high-kiloelectron-volt monoenergetic images is the lack of beam-hardening artifacts that are characteristic of polyenergetic x-ray beams [6].

The As: Atomic Numbers and Other Physics Concepts

To understand the concepts of DECT, it is important to understand how conventional CT works; a single x-ray source and a single x-ray detector are used, and the patient is irradiated with a wide-range of photon energies (polychromatic or polyenergetic beam). The peak energy of the photon is specified by the scan settings (most commonly 120 kVp), but the energy of the photons that interact with the patient’s tissue ranges from nearly zero to the peak kiloelectron voltage. The mean incident photon energy from a 120-kVp polyenergetic beam is approximately 60–90 keV on most CT scanners. The optimal tube voltage setting for conventional CT is a compromise between soft-tissue contrast and the level of noise. Low tube voltage settings (e.g., 70 kVp) have high soft-tissue contrast (in particular for substances containing iodine) and a lower radiation dose, but they produce higher levels of noise. High tube voltage settings (e.g., 150 kVp) have a higher radiation dose with lower levels of noise and can penetrate denser tissues such as bone more readily; however, they have reduced soft-tissue contrast (i.e., low contrast detectability).

The concept of DECT includes imaging with two distinctly different energy spectra (e.g., 70 and 150 kVp), either sequentially or simultaneously, to make up for the compromise between tissue contrast and noise while providing additional information regarding tissue composition. The exact scanner setup for acquiring dual-energy datasets with CT equipment from various manufacturers is quite different and will be discussed in a separate chapter [7].

Two basic physics-related principles of the interaction between radiation and matter form the basis of DECT. The first, Compton scattering, is mainly a midenergy phenomenon (inelastic scattering), and the second, the photoelectric effect, is mainly a low-energy phenomenon (Fig. 1).

Compton Scattering

Compton scattering, the dominant interaction in CT, is dependent on the electron density (ρ) and refers to the scattering of an incident x-ray photon by a charged electron in the outermost shell of an atom (the M-shell). Part of the energy of the photon is transferred to the recoiling outer-shell electron, which causes the wavelength of the photon to decrease. At very low energies, this phenomenon is referred to as Thomson scattering and occurs when the incident photon alters neither the kinetic energy level of the charged electron nor the wavelength of the scattered photon.

The Photoelectric Effect

The photoelectric effect represents a small number of the interactions between x-ray photons and matter in CT, and it mainly comes
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into play with elements that have a high atomic number, such as iodine, barium, gadolinium, and lead. With this effect, electrons are absorbed rather than scattered. Specifically, an incident x-ray photon that has the proper energy encounters a K-shell electron and ejects it from the atom. This is followed by the cascade of an L-shell electron into the K-shell, which results in the emission of an x-ray photon, which is referred to as the photoelectron [5].

**K-Shell Binding Energy**

The K-shell binding energy is unique for each element of the periodic table and increases proportionally to the atomic number. At photon energy levels just higher than the K-shell binding energy, photons are much more likely to be absorbed rather than scattered compared with photons just below the K-shell binding energy, leading to a sudden increase in attenuation, referred to as the k-edge.

The probability of a photoelectric interaction in matter is determined by the K-shell binding energy, which must be approximated by the energy of the incident photon. For example, the binding energy of the K-shell electron in iodine is 33.2 keV. Compared with higher peak kilovoltage polyenergetic x-ray beams, such as 140 kVp, lower peak kilovoltage beams, such as 80 kVp, consist of a much higher percentage of x-ray photons with an energy approximating 33.2 keV, which results in a much higher percentage of photoelectric interactions and, hence, the higher attenuation of iodine. For organic matter such as a carbon, oxygen, and nitrogen, which are the most plentiful atoms in the body, the k-edge is so low (< 10 keV) that there essentially are no photoelectric interactions with x-rays beams ranging from 70 to 150 kVp. Because the k-edge is linked to the atomic number, it makes it possible to derive information about an element from the degree of attenuation observed at different energy levels (Table 1). Observing the attenuation of an element at two distinct energy levels (e.g., by using two different peak kilovoltages for the acquisition of a CT dataset) can help differentiate between two elements, if there is a sufficient difference in the k-edges. This is commonly referred to as spectral separation based on the CT number ratio (Fig. 2). Metals commonly used in orthopedic implants, such as titanium and cobalt-chromium alloys, have similar k-edges, so separation is not currently useful.

**Outcomes: Different Image Types**

Depending on the acquisition method, postprocessing can be performed either in the projection domain (using raw data from the scanner) or in the image or slice domain. With use of these datasets, it is possible to reconstruct three different types of images.

The first type consists of images that appear similar to standard CT images acquired at 120 kVp and can be used for routine clinical interpretation. These images are often referred to as mixed or combined images, and they are achieved by the linear blending of data from the two different peak kilovoltage acquisitions, whether they are acquired via rapid-kV-switching, dual-source technology, or dual-layer technology [8].

The second type consists of virtual monoenergetic images (VMIs), which simulate the images that could be acquired with a true monoenergetic x-ray source [6]. Instead of the polyenergetic x-ray beam,
which has a wide range of photon energies that culminate in the peak kilovoltage, these virtual monoenergetic x-ray beams consist of photons with a similar energy expressed as kiloelectron volts (Table 2). VMIs reconstructed at 75 keV are considered approximately equivalent in appearance to conventional images acquired at 120 kVp. The advantages of VMIs, however, are that the images can have a lower level of noise depending on the algorithm used and that they do not yield beam-hardening artifacts. Exploiting the principle of k-edge imaging, low-energy VMIs reconstructed close to the k-edge of iodine at 33.2 keV show substantially higher attenuation of structures containing iodine and can be used to optimize enhancement of hypervascular lesions and parenchyma as well as blood vessels [9] (Table 3). Conversely, high-energy VMIs can be used to reduce aliasing or streak artifacts caused by metallic implants or high-density contrast material as well as blooming artifacts caused by calcium [10].

The third type consists of material decomposition images created from the dual-energy dataset. The most commonly used image pair is water and iodine (i.e., water only and iodine only), which can be understood as mirror images of each other. After an iodine map is generated, it becomes possible to subtract that data and produce virtual unenhanced images. Iodine maps are created by taking advantage of the distinctly different k-edges of water and iodine, which are close to 0 and 33.2 keV, respectively, and they can then be displayed for qualitative assessment either in gray scale (allowing iodine concentration measurements validated in phantom studies) or as a color overlay on anatomic images [11].

### The Bs: Bones, Vessels, Tumors, and Other Applications

**Musculoskeletal Applications**

In musculoskeletal imaging, high-energy virtual monoenergetic imaging is useful for reducing aliasing or streak artifacts from metallic implants (e.g., spinal reconstruction or arthroplasties), thereby improving detectability of loosening screws or processes adjacent to the implant, like abscesses [10] (Fig. 3).

CT angiography can profit from iodine maps. An example of this is the ability to distinguish contrast material penetrating through a labral or rotator cuff tear from calcified structures [12].

Arguably, the most commonly used diagnostic tool in musculoskeletal imaging is material decomposition imaging of uric acid to identify gout crystals, especially for patients with atypical clinical presentations [13] (Fig. 4).

Bone marrow edema can be quantified using material decomposition images that separate out calcium; this can be helpful in the diagnosis of acute conditions (e.g., fractures) and chronic processes (e.g., axial spondyloarthritis) [14] as well as for oncologic applications (e.g., evaluation of multiple myeloma) [15]. In addition, ligaments and tendons can be highlighted with material decomposition images because of their distinctive collagen content, with collagen side chains being composed of densely packed hydroxyproline and hydroxylysine so they can be separated from water and calcium in the surrounding muscles and bones [16].

**Vascular and Cardiac Applications**

As previously mentioned, VMIs can be used to optimize contrast enhancement of blood vessels. This is especially helpful for improving enhancement in patients with renal insufficiency, who may receive a reduced dose of contrast material. It may also be a tool for improving
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### TABLE 2: Ideal Kiloelectron Volt Values for Virtual Monoenergetic Image (VMI) Reconstructions, Depending on the Structure Imaged or the Suspected Pathology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure Imaged</th>
<th>Ideal Kiloelectron Volt Value(s) for VMI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vessels</td>
<td>40–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pancreas</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gallstones</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallic implants</td>
<td>108–149</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### TABLE 3: Iodine Threshold Cutoff Values for Distinguishing Pathologies From Their Harmless Counterparts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspected Pathology</th>
<th>Iodine Threshold Cutoff (mg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thrombus in left atrial appendage</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant mediastinal mass</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhancing renal lesion [1]</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neoplastic thrombus</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**Fig. 2**—Graph shows principle of material decomposition. CT numbers of typically encountered materials from low- and high-energy images are plotted along y- and x-axes. Identity line or line of equality (dashed line) has slope of 1 and shows values at which both CT numbers are same. In human body, air (−1000 HU) and water (0 HU) are relevant materials along this line. Elements that are further apart are shown on this graph; it is easier to separate them via dual-energy imaging with material decomposition. Dotted lines denote visual cue or reminder that water = 0 HU in either monoenergetic spectrum.
depiction of the vascular system in patients with suboptimal vascular contrast resulting from large habitus or in children with low-radiation-dose acquisitions [6]. Furthermore, it can enable low contrast media dose imaging for complex procedural planning such as transaortic valve replacement, evaluation of aortic abnormalities [17], and vascular structures with a complex physiologic enhancement profile, such as the portal veins [18].

Virtual unenhanced images can be helpful in acute vascular emergencies, an example of which is patients undergoing evaluation for aortic dissection where unenhanced images are needed to assess for intramural hematomas. Furthermore, for cases in which a dissection is not clinically suspected but is seen on contrast-enhanced images, virtual unenhanced images can show an intramural hematoma without the need for additional CT [19]. Visualization of suspected hemorrhage, such as in the gastrointestinal tract, is another application of virtual unenhanced imaging used in combination with iodine maps. Specifically, virtual unenhanced images may confirm or improve confidence in determining whether a hyperattenuated focus seen in the bowel lumen on contrast-enhanced images is a solid, enhancing mass or ingested material. When a hyperattenuated lesion is identified on virtual unenhanced images, such as in the liver or brain, the iodine map may assist in detecting active extravasation of contrast material [20]. In addition, the critical diagnosis of acute bowel ischemia may be improved by showing decreased enhancement in the bowel wall on iodine maps [21].

For the thorax, iodine map–based images can be used to combine vascular analysis with functional assessment of parenchymal enhancement, which can help visualize the...
pulmonary perfusion, highlight pulmonary emboli, and show the changes caused by pulmonary hypertension [22] (Fig. 5).

Several uses for DECT exist in cardiac imaging, including reducing metal artifacts via virtual monoenergetic imaging [23, 24], providing information on cardiac perfusion via iodine map evaluation [25], and enabling atherosclerotic plaque analysis via material decomposition [26].

**Oncologic Imaging Applications**

Low-energy VMIs can be used to increase lesion conspicuity, especially in hypervascular lesions in the liver and pancreas, such as hepatocellular carcinoma and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors, respectively [27, 28] (Fig. 6). Alternatively, it may be helpful for detecting hypoenhancing tumors that occur in organs that typically show parenchymal hyperenhancement, such as pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas.

Virtual unenhanced images and iodine maps can be used to evaluate renal and oth-
er cystic lesions [29]. Virtual unenhanced images may be used to characterize hyperattenuated lesions that might otherwise have to undergo additional unenhanced CT or MRI for confirmation of a hemorrhagic cyst. Conversely, iodine maps can confirm the presence of contrast material in a hyperattenuated renal lesion, increasing the likelihood of a malignant tumor and enabling a more confident recommendation for a biopsy, an ablation, or excision. A similar approach can be taken regarding adrenal incidentalomas and ovarian cysts [30] (Fig. 7).

**Other Applications**

Low-energy VMIs can be helpful in evaluating mural integrity in the bowel and urinary bladder as well as for increasing the conspicuity of isoattenuating gallstones [31, 32].

Inflammatory bowel conditions can be evaluated using virtual unenhanced images and iodine maps when a significantly increased iodine concentration in the bowel wall suggests active inflammation compared with normal bowel [21].

Renal calculi can be identified and classified using material decomposition imaging based on the attenuation values obtained for uric acid and calcium [33] (Fig. 8).

Iron content can be mapped via material decomposition to determine iron overload in the liver [34] and myocardium [35] as well as pigmented villonodular synovitis, hemophilic arthropathy, and hemochromatosis arthropathy [36].

An emerging application for DECT exists in CT colonography in which tagged fecal material can provide artifact-free visualization of the colonic lumen and material decomposition can be used to remove artifact caused by the cleansing agent [37].

**The Cs: Challenges**

**Organ Systems**

In musculoskeletal imaging, special care needs to be taken when positioning the patient; when imaging gout crystals, the examined extremity should not be placed alongside the body or the head because this can introduce artifacts through beam hardening [38]. Nail beds and skin calluses can also mimic urate deposits, but they usually are easily ruled out by taking note of the location or by inspection of the standard gray-scale images [39]. Analysis of fractures can be challenging in sclerotic regions, such as in degenerative disease or at the bony cortex, thus making small avulsion fractures difficult to assess.

Although evaluation of fat and iron content in the liver is a valid application of DECT, such evaluation is complicated if both fat and iron are present [40]. The representation of tissue containing fat generally is dependent on the amount of beam hardening that occurs in the body, so it can vary in accuracy [41].

Diffuse bone marrow infiltration in multiple myeloma is difficult to assess with DECT, and lesion vitality may not be assessable with calcium subtraction algorithms [15]. Only yellow bone marrow can be imaged well, because its CT numbers are sufficiently different from those of calcium (CT number of 20 vs 33); red bone marrow cannot be visualized well. This can make marrow evaluation in the vertebral column of younger patients unreliable [42].

The distinction between the blood vessel lumen and calcified plaque is important in the evaluation of small vessels in the heart (as in coronary artery disease) as well as in the lower extremities (as in peripheral artery disease). However, because the k-edges of iodine and calcium are relatively similar, the small vessel diameter, the presence of motion (particularly of the coronary arteries), or a combination of these two findings makes this a difficult application for DECT [43].

**Acquisition Systems**

Some DECT acquisition methods, such as dual-source CT, are more susceptible to motion artifacts than others. This can be especially challenging for cardiac and pulmonary applications because temporal resolution is the key to identifying coronary artery stenoses, cardiac valvular disease, and pulmonary emboli in small, peripheral veins [22, 44]. Another challenge in dual-source systems is the fact that dual-energy information is available only for a limited FOV diameter of 33 cm or 35 cm because of the reduced size of the second detector, thereby emphasizing the importance of centering the patient.

Although not as vulnerable to motion artifacts, rapid kilovoltage switching and dual-layer detector-based DECT systems have lower dual-energy resolution than dual-source systems and therefore may require radiation doses that are higher than those used in single-energy imaging [45].

Image noise is a problem for all DECT systems. One way to improve image quality is to perform image blending in which images are reconstructed from different amounts (typically 50%) of data from the two energy levels, resulting in noise similar to that of a single-energy acquisition at

---

**Fig. 7**—Patient with multiple ovarian cysts. 

A, Blended dual-energy CT image shows that one cyst (arrowhead) is diffusely hyperattenuated 

B and C, Virtual unenhanced image (B) shows slightly hyperattenuated interior of cyst (arrowhead), and iodine map (C) shows no contrast material inside cyst (arrowhead), enabling confident diagnosis of hemorrhagic cyst.
A diagnosis of calcium-based stone (e.g., calcium oxalate) (B, Fig. 8—Evaluation of renal calculus with dual-energy CT. A, Blended image clearly shows calculus (arrowhead) in left kidney. B, DECT image shows properties similar to those of calcium in bone, which enables confident diagnosis of calcium-based stone (e.g., calcium oxalate) (arrowhead).

The single-energy output has a twofold increased noise level compared with that of the mixed images. Rescaling the images to represent actual contrast levels, such as those for iodine maps, further increases the noise level. This makes the application of denoising algorithms necessary for images to be displayed at a diagnostic-quality level [46].

Many practices do not perform DECT for large patients (e.g., patients who weigh > 260 pounds [> 118 kg]) because of the additional photon starvation caused by the large circumference [47]. Many applications, however, are viable for obese patients, if imaging is tailored to resolve specific issues (e.g., increasing the amount of contrast material given or adjusting the timing of the injection) [48].

Although attenuation values measured on VMIs are relatively similar to those seen on single-energy CT of the soft tissues, they are less accurate for high-attenuation materials such as bone or vividly enhancing structures like the aorta, showing measurements lower than those seen on a higher-energy, single-energy CT image [49].

The clinical DECT imaging workflow varies widely between institutions [50] and can result in more time being required for the technologist to perform DECT compared with single-energy CT, especially if additional reconstructions or postprocessing are required.

Similarly, the potentially large number of image series provided for the radiologist to review in the PACS can increase the workload in an already busy clinical environment. DECT, however, might be beneficial in the longer term, if patient recalls and additional examinations can be avoided. This requires stringent protocol implementation and education of both technologists and radiologists regarding the most efficient and beneficial workflows.

Conclusion
DECT is based on complex physics concepts but can be a very useful tool in the clinical evaluation of patients, adding value to CT and possibly avoiding additional imaging. Several challenges exist in the application of DECT, but most of them can be overcome by applying an optimized technique and implementing stringent acquisition and reading workflows.
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